# Medical Coverage Policy | Immune Cell Function Assay



## **EFFECTIVE DATE:** 10|01|2015 **POLICY LAST REVIEWED:** 01|17|2024

## **OVERVIEW**

Careful monitoring of lifelong immunosuppression is required to ensure the long-term viability of solid organ allografts without incurring an increased risk of infection. The monitoring of immunosuppression parameters attempts to balance the dual risks of rejection and infection. It is proposed that individual immune profiles, such as an immune cell function assay, will help assess the immune function of the transplant recipient and individualize immunosuppressive therapy.

The following test are addressed in this policy:

- ImmuKnow (ViraCor-IBT Laboratories) CPT code 86352
- Pleximmune (Plexision) CPT code 81560

## **MEDICAL CRITERIA**

Not applicable

## **PRIOR AUTHORIZATION**

Not applicable

### **POLICY STATEMENT**

### Medicare Advantage Plans and Commercial Products

Use of the immune cell function assay to monitor and predict immune function after solid organ transplantation, hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation, and all other indications is not covered for Medicare Advantage Plans and not medically necessary for Commercial Products as the evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

**Note:** Laboratories are not allowed to obtain clinical authorization or participate in the authorization process on behalf of the ordering physician. Only the ordering physician shall be involved in the authorization, appeal or other administrative processes related to prior authorization/medical necessity.

In no circumstance shall a laboratory or a physician/provider use a representative of a laboratory or anyone with a relationship to a laboratory and/or a third party to obtain authorization on behalf of the ordering physician, to facilitate any portion of the authorization process or any subsequent appeal of a claim where the authorization process was not followed and/or a denial for clinical appropriateness was issued, including any element of the preparation of necessary documentation of clinical appropriateness. If a laboratory or a third party is found to be supporting any portion of the authorization process, BCBSRI will deem the action a violation of this policy and severe action will be taken up to and including termination from the BCBSRI provider network. If a laboratory provides a laboratory service that has not been authorized, the service will be denied as the financial liability of the participating laboratory and may not be billed to the member.

### **Commercial Products**

Some genetic testing services are not covered and a contract exclusion for any self-funded group that has excluded the expanded coverage of biomarker testing related to the state mandate, R.I.G.L. §27-19-81 described in the Biomarker Testing Mandate policy. For these groups, a list of which genetic testing services are covered with prior authorization, are not medically necessary or are not covered because they are a contract exclusion can be found in the Coding section of the Genetic Testing Services or Proprietary Laboratory Analyses policies.

Please refer to the appropriate Benefit Booklet to determine whether the member's plan has customized benefit coverage. Please refer to the list of Related Policies for more information.

## COVERAGE

Benefits may vary between groups and contracts. Please refer to the appropriate Benefit Booklet, Evidence of Coverage or Subscriber Agreement for applicable not medically necessary/not covered benefits/coverage.

## BACKGROUND

I In current clinical practice, levels of immunosuppression in patients being managed after a solid organ transplant or hematopoietic cell transplantation are determined by testing for clinical toxicity (eg, leukopenia, renal failure) and by therapeutic drug monitoring when available. However, drug levels are not a surrogate for overall drug distribution or efficacy because pharmacokinetics often differ among individuals due to clinical factors such as underlying diagnosis, age, sex, and race; circulating drug levels may not reflect the drug concentration in relevant tissues; and serum level of an individual immunosuppressant drug may not reflect the cumulative effect of other concomitant immunosuppressants. The main value of therapeutic drug monitoring is the avoidance of toxicity. Individual immune profiles, such as an immune cell function assay, could support clinical decision making and help to manage the risk of infection from excessive immunosuppression.

Several commercially available tests of immune cell function have been developed to support clinical decision making.

ImmuKnow measures the concentration of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) in whole blood after a 15- to 18hour incubation with phytohemagglutinin (a mitogenic stimulant). Cells that respond to stimulation show increased ATP synthesis during incubation. Concurrently, whole blood is incubated in the absence of stimulants for the purpose of assessing basal ATP activity. CD4-positive Tlymphocytes are immunoselected from both samples using anti-CD4 monoclonal antibody-coated magnetic particles. After washing the selected CD4-positive cells on a magnet tray, a lysis reagent is added to release intracellular ATP. A luminescence reagent added to the released ATP produces light measured by a luminometer, which is proportional to the concentration of ATP. The characterization of the cellular immune response of a specimen is made by comparing the ATP concentration for that specimen with fixed ATP production ranges.

Pleximmune measures CD154 expression on T-cytotoxic memory cells in patient's peripheral blood lymphocytes. CD154 is a marker of inflammatory response. To characterize the risk of rejection, the patient's inflammatory response to transplant donor cells is expressed as a fraction of the patient's inflammatory response to third-party cells. This fraction or ratio is called the Immunoreactivity Index (IR). If the donorinduced response exceeds the response to third-party cells, the individual is at increased risk for rejection. Cells are cultured and then analyzed with fluorochrome-stained antibodies to identify the cells expressing CD154. For posttransplant blood samples, an IR greater than 1.1 indicates an increased risk of rejection, and an IR less than 1.1 indicates a decreased risk of rejection. For pretransplant samples, the threshold for IR is 1.23.

For individuals with a solid organ transplant or HCT who receive immune cell function assay testing with ImmuKnow, the evidence includes numerous studies on the association between assay test values and subsequent rejection or infection, and an RCT in liver transplant patients. Relevant outcomes are OS, other test performance measures, and morbid events. The ImmuKnow test has shown variable associations with infection and rejection, depending on the type of transplant and context of the study. Across all the studies among various types of patients, ImmuKnow levels are associated with the risk of rejection when levels are high and risk of infection when levels are low. However, the absolute risk and increments of risk are uncertain because of the heterogeneity of the studies. The predictive characteristics of the test are still uncertain and do not allow a strong chain of evidence for clinical utility. The trial of the ImmuKnow test in liver transplant patients showed improvement in OS; however, the trial had several limitations. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with a solid organ transplant or HCT who receive immune cell function assay testing with Pleximmune, the evidence includes the U.S. FDA documentation and a report on the test's development and validation. Relevant outcomes are OS, other measures of test performance, and morbid events. Small studies have shown that Pleximmune values correlate with long-term survival. Pleximmune test results correlated with rejection, but conclusions are uncertain because of extremely limited evidence deriving from a small number of patients described briefly in the FDA approval documents and a second study, in which the CI bounds for sensitivity and specificity estimates were wide. No direct studies of clinical utility were identified. An argument for clinical utility using a chain of evidence would rely on both a demonstration of clinical validity and a rationale that specific clinical interventions based the results of the test decrease the risk of a poor health outcome. At present, the clinical interventions that would occur as a result of the test result are uncertain, and so the clinical validity is uncertain. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

## CODING

### Medicare Advantage Plans and Commercial Products

The following CPT code(s) are not covered for Medicare Advantage Plans and considered not medically necessary for Commercial Products:

This code can be used for Pleximmune

**81560** Transplantation medicine (allograft rejection, pediatric liver and small bowel), measurement of donor and third party-induced cd154+t-cytotoxic memory cells, utilizing whole peripheral blood, algorithm reported as a rejection risk score

This code can be used for ImmuKnow

**86352** Cellular function assay involving stimulation (eg, mitogen or antigen) and detection of biomarker (eg, ATP)

### **RELATED POLICIES**

Biomarker Testing Mandate Genetic Testing Services

## PUBLISHED

Provider Update, March 2024 Provider Update, March/November 2023 Provider Update, April 2022 Provider Update, March 2021 Provider Update, March 2020

## REFERENCES

Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Special 510(k): Device Modification 2010 (K101911).
n.d.;http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh\_docs/reviews/K101911.pdf. Accessed November 17, 2023..
Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Summary of Safety and Probable Benefit: Pleximmune.
2014;http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh\_docs/pdf13/H130004b.pdf. Accessed November 16, 2023.
Ling X, Xiong J, Liang W, et al. Can immune cell function assay identify patients at risk of infection or rejection? A meta-analysis. Transplantation. Apr 15 2012; 93(7): 737-43. PMID 22357178
Rodrigo E, Lopez-Hoyos M, Corral M, et al. ImmuKnow as a diagnostic tool for predicting infection and acute rejection inadult liver transplant recipients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Liver Transpl. Oct

2012; 18(10): 1245-53. PMID22740321

5.Rossano JW, Denfield SW, Kim JJ, et al. Assessment of the Cylex ImmuKnow cell function assay in pediatric hearttransplant patients. J Heart Lung Transplant. Jan 2009; 28(1): 26-31. PMID 19134527 6.Wong MS, Boucek R, Kemna M, et al. Immune cell function assay in pediatric heart transplant recipients. PediatrTransplant. Aug 2014; 18(5): 485-90. PMID 24930882

7.Ryan CM, Chaudhuri A, Concepcion W, et al. Immune cell function assay does not identify biopsy-proven pediatric renalallograft rejection or infection. Pediatr Transplant. Aug 2014; 18(5): 446-52. PMID 24930482

8.Wozniak LJ, Venick RS, Gordon Burroughs S, et al. Utility of an immune cell function assay to differentiate rejection from from infectious enteritis in pediatric intestinal transplant recipients. Clin Transplant. Feb 2014; 28(2): 229-35. PMID 24433466

9.Liu W, Wang K, Zhao YH, et al. Clinical relevance of a CD4 + T cell immune function assay in the diagnosis of infection inpediatric living-donor liver transplantation. Exp Ther Med. Nov 2019; 18(5): 3823-3828. PMID 31602249

10.Xue F, Gao W, Qin T, et al. Immune cell function assays in the diagnosis of infection in pediatric liver transplantation: anopen-labeled, two center prospective cohort study. Transl Pediatr. Feb 2021; 10(2): 333-343. PMID 33708519

11.Nishikawa K, Mizuno S, Masui S, et al. Usefulness of monitoring cell-mediated immunity for predicting post-kidneytransplantation viral infection. Transplant Proc. 2014; 46(2): 552-5. PMID 24656010

12.Sageshima J, Ciancio G, Chen L, et al. Lack of clinical association and effect of peripheral WBC counts on immune cellfunction test in kidney transplant recipients with T-cell depleting induction and steroid-sparing maintenance therapy.Transpl Immunol. Mar 2014; 30(2-3): 88-92. PMID 24518158

13. Torio A, Fernandez EJ, Montes-Ares O, et al. Lack of association of immune cell function test with rejection in kidneytransplantation. Transplant Proc. Jul-Aug 2011; 43(6): 2168-70. PMID 21839223

14.Zhou H, Wu Z, Ma L, et al. Assessing immunologic function through CD4 T-lymphocyte ahenosine triphosphate levels byImmuKnow assay in Chinese patients following renal transplantation. Transplant Proc. Sep 2011; 43(7): 2574-8. PMID21911125

15.Huskey J, Gralla J, Wiseman AC. Single time point immune function assay (ImmuKnow) testing does not aid in theprediction of future opportunistic infections or acute rejection. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. Feb 2011; 6(2): 423-9. PMID21088287

16.Reinsmoen NL, Cornett KM, Kloehn R, et al. Pretransplant donor-specific and non-specific immune parametersassociated with early acute rejection. Transplantation. Feb 15 2008; 85(3): 462-70. PMID 18301338 17.Serban G, Whittaker V, Fan J, et al. Significance of immune cell function monitoring in renal transplantation afterThymoglobulin induction therapy. Hum Immunol. Nov 2009; 70(11): 882-90. PMID 19664673

18.Libri I, Gnappi E, Zanelli P, et al. Trends in immune cell function assay and donor-specific HLA antibodies in kidneytransplantation: A 3-year prospective study. Am J Transplant. Dec 2013; 13(12): 3215-22. PMID 24266972 19.

19.Myslik F, House AA, Yanko D, et al. Preoperative Cylex assay predicts rejection risk in patients with kidney transplant. ClinTransplant. May 2014; 28(5): 606-10. PMID 24628326

20.Quaglia M, Cena T, Fenoglio R, et al. Immune function assay (immunknow) drop over first 6 months after renal transplant:a predictor of opportunistic viral infections?. Transplant Proc. Sep 2014; 46(7): 2220-3. PMID 25242755

21.Wang XZ, Jin ZK, Tian XH, et al. Increased intracellular adenosine triphosphate level as an index to predict acute rejectionin kidney transplant recipients. Transpl Immunol. Jan 2014; 30(1): 18-23. PMID 24211610

22.Weston MW, Rinde-Hoffman D, Lopez-Cepero M. Monitoring cell-mediated immunity during immunosuppressionreduction in heart transplant recipients with severe systemic infections. Clin Transplant. Mar 2020; 34(3): e13809. PMID32003048

23.Israeli M, Ben-Gal T, Yaari V, et al. Individualized immune monitoring of cardiac transplant recipients by noninvasivelongitudinal cellular immunity tests. Transplantation. Apr 27 2010; 89(8): 968-76. PMID 20075792

24.Kobashigawa JA, Kiyosaki KK, Patel JK, et al. Benefit of immune monitoring in heart transplant patients using ATPproduction in activated lymphocytes. J Heart Lung Transplant. May 2010; 29(5): 504-8. PMID 20133166

25.Gupta S, Mitchell JD, Markham DW, et al. Utility of the Cylex assay in cardiac transplant recipients. J Heart LungTransplant. Aug 2008; 27(8): 817-22. PMID 18656792

26.Shearer GM, Clerici M. In vitro analysis of cell-mediated immunity: clinical relevance. Clin Chem. Nov 1994; 40(11 Pt 2):2162-5. PMID 7955403

27.Cheng JW, Shi YH, Fan J, et al. An immune function assay predicts post-transplant recurrence in patients withhepatocellular carcinoma. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. Oct 2011; 137(10): 1445-53. PMID 21809031

28.Dong JY, Yin H, Li RD, et al. The relationship between adenosine triphosphate within CD4(+) T lymphocytes and acuterejection after liver transplantation. Clin Transplant. May-Jun 2011; 25(3): E292-6. PMID 21470308

29.Hashimoto K, Miller C, Hirose K, et al. Measurement of CD4+ T-cell function in predicting allograft rejection and recurrenthepatitis C after liver transplantation. Clin Transplant. Sep-Oct 2010; 24(5): 701-8. PMID 20047619

30.Cabrera R, Ararat M, Soldevila-Pico C, et al. Using an immune functional assay to differentiate acute cellular rejectionfrom recurrent hepatitis C in liver transplant patients. Liver Transpl. Feb 2009; 15(2): 216-22. PMID 19177434

31.Narasimhan M, Mahimainathan L, Clark AE, et al. Serological Response in Lung Transplant Recipients after Two Doses of SARS-CoV-2 mRNA Vaccines. Vaccines (Basel). Jun 30 2021; 9(7). PMID 34208884 32.Piloni D, Magni S, Oggionni T, et al. Clinical utility of CD4+ function assessment (ViraCor-IBT ImmuKnow test) in lungrecipients. Transpl Immunol. Jul 2016; 37: 35-39. PMID 27095000

33.Husain S, Raza K, Pilewski JM, et al. Experience with immune monitoring in lung transplant recipients: correlation of lowimmune function with infection. Transplantation. Jun 27 2009; 87(12): 1852-7. PMID 19543064

34.Bhorade SM, Janata K, Vigneswaran WT, et al. Cylex ImmuKnow assay levels are lower in lung transplant recipients withinfection. J Heart Lung Transplant. Sep 2008; 27(9): 990-4. PMID 18765191

35.Shino MY, Weigt SS, Saggar R, et al. Usefulness of immune monitoring in lung transplantation using adenosinetriphosphate production in activated lymphocytes. J Heart Lung Transplant. Sep 2012; 31(9): 996-1002. PMID 22884386

36.Ravaioli M, Neri F, Lazzarotto T, et al. Immunosuppression Modifications Based on an Immune Response Assay: Resultsof a Randomized, Controlled Trial. Transplantation. Aug 2015; 99(8): 1625-32. PMID 25757214

37.Manga K, Serban G, Schwartz J, et al. Increased adenosine triphosphate production by peripheral blood CD4+ cells inpatients with hematologic malignancies treated with stem cell mobilization agents. Hum Immunol. Jul 2010; 71(7): 652-8.PMID 20381567

38.Gesundheit B, Budowski E, Israeli M, et al. Assessment of CD4 T-lymphocyte reactivity by the Cylex ImmuKnow assay inpatients following allogeneic hematopoietic SCT. Bone Marrow Transplant. Mar 2010; 45(3): 527-33. PMID 19718067

39.Ashokkumar C, Talukdar A, Sun Q, et al. Allospecific CD154+ T cells associate with rejection risk after pediatric livertransplantation. Am J Transplant. Jan 2009; 9(1): 179-91. PMID 18976293

40.Ashokkumar C, Soltys K, Mazariegos G, et al. Predicting Cellular Rejection With a Cell-Based Assay: PreclinicalEvaluation in Children. Transplantation. Jan 2017; 101(1): 131-140. PMID 26950712

41.Allen UD, Preiksaitis JK. Post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorders, Epstein-Barr virus infection, and disease in solidorgan transplantation: Guidelines from the American Society of Transplantation Infectious Diseases Community of Practice. Clin Transplant. Sep 2019; 33(9): e13652. PMID 31230381

42.Kotton CN, Kumar D, Caliendo AM, et al. The Third International Consensus Guidelines on the Management of Cytomegalovirus in Solid-organ Transplantation. Transplantation. Jun 2018; 102(6): 900-931. PMID 29596116

43.Kotton CN, Kumar D, Caliendo AM, et al. International consensus guidelines on the management of cytomegalovirus insolid organ transplantation. Transplantation. Apr 15 2010; 89(7): 779-95. PMID 20224515

#### ---- CLICK THE ENVELOPE ICON BELOW TO SUBMIT COMMENTS

This medical policy is made available to you for informational purposes only. It is not a guarantee of payment or a substitute for your medical judgment in the treatment of your patients. Benefits and eligibility are determined by the member's subscriber agreement or member certificate and/or the employer agreement, and those documents will supersede the provisions of this medical policy. For information on member-specific benefits, call the provider call center. If you provide services to a member which are determined to not be medically necessary (or in some cases medically necessary services which are non-covered benefits), you may not charge the member for the services unless you have informed the member and they have agreed in writing in advance to continue with the treatment at their own expense. Please refer to your participation agreement(s) for the applicable provisions. This policy is current at the time of publication; however, medical practices, technology, and knowledge are constantly changing. BCBSRI reserves the right to review and revise this policy for any reason and at any time, with or without notice. Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Rhode Island is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association.