Medical Coverage Policy | Noninvasive Techniques for the Evaluation and Monitoring of Patients with Chronic Liver Disease

EFFECTIVE DATE: 01|01|2024
POLICY LAST REVIEWED: 09|06|2023

OVERVIEW

Noninvasive techniques to monitor liver fibrosis are being investigated as alternatives to liver biopsy in patients with chronic liver disease. There are 2 options for noninvasive monitoring: (1) multianalyte serum assays with algorithmic analysis of either direct or indirect biomarkers; and (2) specialized radiologic methods, including magnetic resonance elastography, multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), transient elastography, acoustic radiation force impulse imaging, and real-time transient elastography.

The following tests are addressed in this policy:

- ASH FibroSURE™ (BioPredictive S.A.S.) CPT code 0002U
- Enhanced Liver Fibrosis™ (ELF) Test (Siemens Healthcare) CPT code 81517
- HCV FibroSURE™ FibroTest ™ (BioPredictive S.A.S.) CPT code 81596
- LiverFASt™ (Fibronostics) CPT code 0166U
- NASH FibroSURE™ (BioPredictive S.A.S.) CPT code 0003M

MEDICAL CRITERIA

Not applicable

PRIOR AUTHORIZATION

Not applicable

POLICY STATEMENT

Medicare Advantage Plans and Commercial Products

The following may be considered medically necessary for the evaluation of individuals with chronic liver disease:

- Transient elastography (FibroScan) imaging
- A single FibroSURE multianalyte assay

The following are considered not covered for Medicare Advantage Plans and not medically necessary for Commercial Products as the evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome:

- Transient elastography (FibroScan) imaging for monitoring of individuals with chronic liver disease
- FibroSURE multianalyte assays for monitoring of individuals with chronic liver disease
- Other multianalyte assays with algorithmic analyses for the evaluation or monitoring of individuals with chronic liver disease.

Note: Laboratories are not allowed to obtain clinical authorization or participate in the authorization process on behalf of the ordering physician. Only the ordering physician shall be involved in the authorization, appeal or other administrative processes related to prior authorization/medical necessity.

In no circumstance shall a laboratory or a physician/provider use a representative of a laboratory or anyone with a relationship to a laboratory and/or a third party to obtain authorization on behalf of the ordering physician, to facilitate any portion of the authorization process or any subsequent appeal of a claim where the authorization process was not followed and/or a denial for clinical appropriateness was issued, including any element of the preparation of necessary documentation of clinical appropriateness. If a laboratory or a third party is found to be supporting any portion of the authorization process, BCBSRI will deem the action a

violation of this policy and severe action will be taken up to and including termination from the BCBSRI provider network. If a laboratory provides a laboratory service that has not been authorized, the service will be denied as the financial liability of the participating laboratory and may not be billed to the member.

Commercial Products
Some genetic testing services are not covered and a contract exclusion for any self-funded group that has excluded the expanded coverage of biomarker testing related to the state mandate, R.I.G.L**. §27-19-81** described in the Biomarker Testing Mandate policy. For these groups, a list of which genetic testing services are covered with prior authorization, are not medically necessary or are not covered because they are a contract exclusion can be found in the Coding section of the Genetic Testing Services or Proprietary Laboratory Analyses policies. Please refer to the appropriate Benefit Booklet to determine whether the member's plan has customized benefit coverage. Please refer to the list of Related Policies for more information.

COVERAGE

Benefits may vary between groups and contracts. Please refer to the appropriate Benefit Booklet, Evidence of Coverage, or Subscriber Agreement for applicable not medically necessary/not covered benefits/coverage.

BACKGROUND

Biopsy for Chronic Liver Disease
The diagnosis of non–neoplastic liver disease is often made from needle biopsy samples. In addition to establishing a disease etiology, liver biopsy can determine the degree of inflammation present and stage the degree of fibrosis. The degree of inflammation and fibrosis may be assessed by different scoring schemes. Most of these scoring schemes grade inflammation from 0 to 4 (0 = no or minimal inflammation, 4 = severe) and fibrosis from 0 to 4 (0 = no fibrosis, 4 = cirrhosis). There are several limitations to liver biopsy, including its invasive nature, small tissue sample size, and subjective grading system. Regarding small tissue sample size, liver fibrosis can be patchy and thus missed on a biopsy sample, which includes only 0.002% of the liver tissue. A noninvasive alternative to liver biopsy would be particularly helpful, both to initially assess patients and then as a monitoring tool to assess response to therapy.

Hepatitis C Virus
Infection with hepatitis C virus (HCV) can lead to permanent liver damage. Before noninvasive tests were available, liver biopsy is typically recommended before the initiation of antiviral therapy. Repeat biopsies may be performed to monitor fibrosis progression. Liver biopsies are analyzed according to a histologic scoring system; the most commonly used one for hepatitis C is the Metavir scoring system, which scores the presence and degree of inflammatory activity and fibrosis. The fibrosis is graded from F0 to F4, with a Metavir score of F0 signifying no fibrosis and F4 signifying cirrhosis (which is defined as the presence throughout the liver of fibrous septa that subdivide the liver parenchyma into nodules and represents the final and irreversible form of disease). The stage of fibrosis is the most important single predictor of morbidity and mortality in patients with hepatitis C. Biopsies for hepatitis C are also evaluated according to the degree of inflammation present, referred to as the grade or activity level. For example, the Metavir system includes scores for necroinflammatory activity ranging from A0 to A3 (A0 = no activity, A1 = minimal activity, A2 = moderate activity, A3 = severe activity).

Hepatitis B Virus
Most people who become infected with hepatitis B virus recover fully, but a small portion will develop chronic hepatitis B virus, which can lead to permanent liver damage. As with HCV, identification of liver fibrosis is needed to determine timing and management of treatment, and liver biopsy is the criterion standard for staging fibrosis. The grading of fibrosis in hepatitis B virus also uses the Metavir system.

Alcoholic Liver Disease

Alcoholic liver disease (ALD) is the leading cause of liver disease in most Western countries. Histologic features of ALD usually include steatosis, alcoholic steatohepatitis (ASH), hepatocyte necrosis, Mallory bodies (tangled proteins seen in degenerating hepatocytes), a large polymorphonuclear inflammatory infiltrate, and, with continued alcohol abuse, fibrosis and possibly cirrhosis. The grading of fibrosis is similar to the scoring system used in hepatitis C. The commonly used Laënnec scoring system uses grades 0 to 4, with 4 being cirrhosis.

Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is defined as a condition that pathologically resembles ALD but occurs in patients who are not heavy users of alcohol. Moreover, NAFLD may be associated with a variety of conditions, including obesity, diabetes, and dyslipidemia. The characteristic feature of NAFLD is steatosis. At the benign end of the disease spectrum, there is usually no appreciable inflammation, hepatocyte death, or fibrosis. In contrast, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), which shows overlapping histologic features with ALD, is an intermediate form of liver damage, and liver biopsy may show steatosis, Mallory bodies, focal inflammation, and degenerating hepatocytes. NASH can progress to fibrosis and cirrhosis. A variety of histologic scoring systems have been used to evaluate NAFLD. The NAFLD Activity Score system for NASH includes scores for steatosis (0 to 3), lobular inflammation (0 to 3), and ballooning (0 to 2). Cases with scores of 5 or greater are considered NASH, while cases with scores of 3 and 4 are considered borderline (probable or possible) NASH. The grading of fibrosis is similar to the scoring system used in hepatitis C. The commonly used Laënnec scoring system uses grades 0 to 4, with 4 being cirrhosis.

Transient elastography (FibroScan) uses a mechanical vibrator to produce mild amplitude and low-frequency (50 Hz) waves, inducing an elastic shear wave that propagates throughout the liver. Ultrasound (US) tracks the wave, measuring its speed in kilopascals, which correlates with liver stiffness. Increases in liver fibrosis also increase liver stiffness and resistance of liver blood flow. Transient elastography does not perform as well in patients with ascites, higher body mass index, or narrow intercostal margins. Although FibroScan may be used to measure fibrosis (unlike liver biopsy), it does not provide information on necroinflammatory activity and steatosis, nor is it accurate during acute hepatitis or hepatitis exacerbations.

For individuals who have chronic liver disease who receive transient elastography (eg, FibroScan), the evidence includes many systematic reviews of more than 50 observational studies (>10,000 patients). Transient elastography has been studied in populations with viral hepatitis, NAFLD, and ALD. There are varying cutoffs for positivity. Failures of the test are not uncommon, particularly for those with high body mass index, but these failures often went undetected in analyses of the validation studies. Given these limitations and the imperfect reference standard, it can be difficult to interpret performance characteristics. However, for the purposes of deciding whether a patient has severe fibrosis or cirrhosis, the FibroScan results provide data sufficiently useful to determine therapy. In fact, FibroScan has been used as an alternative to biopsy to establish eligibility regarding the presence of fibrosis or cirrhosis in the participants of several RCTs. These trials showed the efficacy of HCV treatments, which in turn demonstrated that the test can identify patients who would benefit from therapy.

NONINVASIVE ALTERNATIVES TO LIVER BIOPSY

Multianalyte Assays

A variety of noninvasive laboratory tests are being evaluated as alternatives to liver biopsy. Biochemical tests can be broadly categorized into indirect and direct markers of liver fibrosis. Indirect markers include liver function tests such as alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), the ALT/AST ratio (also referred to as the AAR), platelet count, and prothrombin index. There has been a growing understanding of the underlying pathophysiology of fibrosis, leading to a direct measurement of the factors involved. For example, the central event in the pathophysiology of fibrosis is the activation of the hepatic stellate cell. Normally, stellate cells are quiescent, but are activated in the setting of liver injury, producing a variety of extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins. In normal livers, the rate of ECM production equals its degradation, but

with fibrosis, production exceeds degradation. Metalloproteinases are involved in intracellular degradation of ECM, and a profibrogenic state exists when there is either a down-regulation of metalloproteinases or an increase in tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases. Both metalloproteinases and tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases can be measured in the serum, which directly reflects the fibrotic activity. Other direct measures of ECM deposition include hyaluronic acid or α2-macroglobulin.

While many studies have been done on these individual markers, or on groups of markers in different populations of patients with liver disease, there has been interest in analyzing multiple markers using mathematical algorithms to generate a score that categorizes patients according to the biopsy score. It is proposed that these algorithms can be used as alternatives to liver biopsy in patients with liver disease. The following proprietary, algorithm-based tests are commercially available in the U.S.

HCV FibroSURE
The HCV FibroSURE uses a combination of 6 serum biochemical indirect markers of liver function plus age and sex in a patented algorithm to generate a measure of fibrosis and necroinflammatory activity in the liver that corresponds to the Metavir scoring system for stage (ie, fibrosis) and grade (ie, necroinflammatory activity). The measures are combined using a linear regression equation to produce a score between 0 and 1, with higher values corresponding to more severe disease. The biochemical markers include the readily available measurements of α2-macroglobulin, haptoglobin, bilirubin, γ-glutamyl transpeptidase, ALT, and apolipoprotein AI. Developed in France, the test has been clinically available in Europe under the name FibroTest since 2003; it is exclusively offered by LabCorp in the U.S. as HCV FibroSURE.

ASH FibroSURE
ASH FibroSURE (ASH Test) uses a combination of 10 serum biochemical markers of liver function together with age, sex, height, and weight in a proprietary algorithm; the test is proposed to provide surrogate markers for liver fibrosis, hepatic steatosis, and ASH. The biochemical markers include α2-macroglobulin, haptoglobin, apolipoprotein AI, bilirubin, γ-glutamyl transpeptidase, ALT, AST, total cholesterol, triglycerides, and fasting glucose. The test has been available in Europe under the name AshTest™ (BioPredictive); the test is exclusively offered by LabCorp in the U.S. as ASHFibroSURE.

NASH FibroSURE
NASH FibroSURE (NASH Test) uses a proprietary algorithm of the same 10 biochemical markers of liver function in combination with age, sex, height, and weight and is proposed to provide surrogate markers for liver fibrosis, hepatic steatosis, and NASH. The biochemical markers include α2-macroglobulin, haptoglobin, apolipoprotein AI, bilirubin, γ-glutamyl transpeptidase, ALT, AST, total cholesterol, triglycerides, and fasting glucose. The test has been available in Europe under the name NashTest™ (BioPredictive); the test is exclusively offered by LabCorp in the U.S. as NASHFibroSURE.

Enhanced Liver Fibrosis Test
The Enhanced Liver Fibrosis (ELF) test uses a proprietary algorithm to produce a score based on 3 serum biomarkers involved in matrix biology: hyaluronic acid, Procollagen III amino terminal peptide and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase1. The manufacturer recommends the following cutoffs for interpretation for risk of development of cirrhosis or liver-related events in patients with NASH: <9.80 (lower risk) and ≥11.30 (higher risk).

LiverFASt™
LiverFASt™ is a blood based diagnostic test that combines 10 biomarkers (Alpha-2-Macroglobulin, Haptoglobin, Apolipoprotein A1, Total Bilirubin, GGT, ALT [P5P], AST [P5P], Fasting Glucose,

Triglyceride, and Total Cholesterol) and algorithm technology to determine the fibrosis, activity and steatosis stages of the liver. LIVERFASt is exclusively offered by Fibronostics.

For individuals who have chronic liver disease who receive FibroSURE serum panels, the evidence includes systematic reviews of more than 30 observational studies (>5000 patients). Relevant outcomes are test validity, morbid events, and treatment-related morbidity. FibroSURE has been studied in populations with viral hepatitis, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, and alcoholic liver disease. There are established cutoffs, although they were not consistently used in validation studies. Given these limitations and the imperfect reference standard, it is difficult to interpret performance characteristics. However, for the purposes of deciding whether a patient has severe fibrosis or cirrhosis, FibroSURE results provide data sufficiently useful to determine therapy. Specifically, FibroSURE has been used as an alternative to biopsy to establish eligibility regarding the presence of fibrosis or cirrhosis in several randomized controlled trials that showed the efficacy of hepatitis C virus treatments, which in turn demonstrated that the test can identify patients who would benefit from therapy. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have chronic liver disease who receive multianalyte serum assays for liver function assessment other than FibroSURE, the evidence includes a number of observational studies and systematic reviews of those studies. Relevant outcomes are test validity, morbid events, and treatment-related morbidity. Studies have frequently included varying cutoffs, some of which were standardized and others not validated. Cutoff thresholds have often been modified over time, may be specific to certain patient populations, and in some cases, guideline recommendations differ from cutoffs designated by manufacturers and those utilized in studies. A comparison of transient elastography to various serum-based tests found that the former was superior in detecting fibrosis. Given these limitations and the imperfect reference standard, it is difficult to interpret performance characteristics. There is no direct evidence that other multianalyte serum assays improve health outcomes; further, it is not possible to construct a chain of evidence for clinical utility due to the lack of sufficient evidence on clinical validity. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

CODING

Medicare Advantage Plans and Commercial Products

The following CPT code(s) are covered with one of the *ICD-10 Diagnosis Code(s) indicated below:

This code can be used for ASH FibroSURE™

0002M Liver disease, ten biochemical assays (ALT, A2-macroglobulin, apolipoprotein A-1, total bilirubin, GGT, haptoglobin, AST, glucose, total cholesterol and triglycerides) utilizing serum, prognostic algorithm reported as quantitative scores for fibrosis, steatosis and alcoholic steatohepatitis (ASH)

This code can be used for NASH FibroSURE™

0003M Liver disease, ten biochemical assays (ALT, A2-macroglobulin, apolipoprotein A-1, total bilirubin, GGT, haptoglobin, AST, glucose, total cholesterol and triglycerides) utilizing serum, prognostic algorithm reported as quantitative scores for fibrosis, steatosis and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH)

This code can be used for HCV FibroSURE™ FibroTest ™

81596 Infectious disease, chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection, six biochemical assays (ALT, A2-macroglobulin, apolipoprotein A-1, total bilirubin, GGT, and haptoglobin) utilizing serum, prognostic algorithm reported as scores for fibrosis and necroinflammatory activity in liver

76981 Ultrasound, Elastography; Parenchyma (eg Organ)

91200 Liver elastography, mechanically induced shear wave (eg, vibration), without imaging, with interpretation and report

***ICD-10 Diagnosis Code(s):**
K70.0 through K77
R94.5

The following CPT code(s) are not covered for Medicare Advantage Plans and not medically necessary for Commercial Products:
This code can be used for Enhanced Liver Fibrosis™ (ELF) Test
81517 Liver disease, analysis of 3 biomarkers (hyaluronic acid [HA], procollagen III amino terminal peptide [PIIINP], tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase 1 [TIMP-1]), using immunoassays, utilizing serum, prognostic algorithm reported as a risk score and risk of liver fibrosis and liver related clinical events within 5 years (New code effective 1/01/2024)
For date prior to 1/01/2024, CPT code 0014M may have been used for the Enhanced Liver Fibrosis™ (ELF) Test

This code can be used for LiverFASt™
0166U Liver disease, 10 biochemical assays (a2-macroglobulin, haptoglobin, apolipoprotein A1, bilirubin, GGT, ALT, AST, triglycerides, cholesterol, fasting glucose) and biometric and demographic data, utilizing serum, algorithm reported as scores for fibrosis, necroinflammatory activity, and steatosis with a summary interpretation

RELATED POLICIES
Biomarker Testing Mandate
Genetic Testing Services
Proprietary Laboratory Analyses (PLA)

PUBLISHED
Provider Update, February/November 2023
Provider Update, January 2022
Provider Update, January 2021
Provider Update, February 2020
Provider Update, January 2019

REFERENCES:
1. Regev A, Berho M, Jeffers LJ, et al. Sampling error and intraobserver variation in liver biopsy in patients with chronic HCVinfection. Am J Gastroenterol. Oct 2002; 97(10): 2614-8. PMID 12385448
2. Rockey DC, Caldwell SH, Goodman ZD, et al. Liver biopsy. Hepatology. Mar 2009; 49(3): 1017-44. PMID 19243014
3. Mehta SH, Lau B, Afdhal NH, et al. Exceeding the limits of liver histology markers. J Hepatol. Jan 2009; 50(1): 36-41. PMID19012989
4. Trikalinos TA, Balion CM. Chapter 9: options for summarizing medical test performance in the absence of a "gold standard". JGen Intern Med. Jun 2012; 27 Suppl 1: S67-75. PMID 22648677
5. Crossan C, Tsochatzis EA, Longworth L, et al. Cost-effectiveness of non-invasive methods for assessment and monitoring ofliver fibrosis and cirrhosis in patients with chronic liver disease: systematic review and economic evaluation. Health TechnolAssess. Jan 2015; 19(9): 1-409, v-vi. PMID 25633908
6. Houot M, Ngo Y, Munteanu M, et al. Systematic review with meta-analysis: direct comparisons of biomarkers for the diagnosisof fibrosis in chronic hepatitis C and B. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. Jan 2016; 43(1): 16-29. PMID 26516104
7. Imbert-Bismut F, Ratziu V, Pieroni L, et al. Biochemical markers of liver fibrosis in patients with hepatitis C virus infection: aprospective study. Lancet. Apr 07 2001; 357(9262): 1069-75. PMID 11297957

8. Poynard T, McHutchison J, Manns M, et al. Biochemical surrogate markers of liver fibrosis and activity in a randomized trial ofpeginterferon alfa-2b and ribavirin. Hepatology. Aug 2003; 38(2): 481-92. PMID 12883493
9. Poynard T, Munteanu M, Imbert-Bismut F, et al. Prospective analysis of discordant results between biochemical markers andbiopsy in patients with chronic hepatitis C. Clin Chem. Aug 2004; 50(8): 1344-55. PMID 15192028
10. Afdhal NH, Nunes D. Evaluation of liver fibrosis: a concise review. Am J Gastroenterol. Jun 2004; 99(6): 1160-74. PMID15180741
11. Lichtinghagen R, Bahr MJ. Noninvasive diagnosis of fibrosis in chronic liver disease. Expert Rev Mol Diagn. Sep 2004; 4(5):715-26. PMID 15347264
12. Afdhal N, Reddy KR, Nelson DR, et al. Ledipasvir and sofosbuvir for previously treated HCV genotype 1 infection. N Engl JMed. Apr 17 2014; 370(16): 1483-93. PMID 24725238
13. Afdhal N, Zeuzem S, Kwo P, et al. Ledipasvir and sofosbuvir for untreated HCV genotype 1 infection. N Engl J Med. May 152014; 370(20): 1889-98. PMID 24725239
14. Curry MP, O'Leary JG, Bzowej N, et al. Sofosbuvir and Velpatasvir for HCV in Patients with Decompensated Cirrhosis. N Engl JMed. Dec 31 2015; 373(27): 2618-28. PMID 26569658
15. Foster GR, Afdhal N, Roberts SK, et al. Sofosbuvir and Velpatasvir for HCV Genotype 2 and 3 Infection. N Engl J Med. Dec 312015; 373(27): 2608-17. PMID 26575258
16. Kowdley KV, Gordon SC, Reddy KR, et al. Ledipasvir and sofosbuvir for 8 or 12 weeks for chronic HCV without cirrhosis. NEngl J Med. May 15 2014; 370(20): 1879-88. PMID 24720702
17. Zeuzem S, Dusheiko GM, Salupere R, et al. Sofosbuvir and ribavirin in HCV genotypes 2 and 3. N Engl J Med. May 22 2014;370(21): 1993-2001. PMID 24795201
18. Naveau S, Raynard B, Ratziu V, et al. Biomarkers for the prediction of liver fibrosis in patients with chronic alcoholic liverdisease. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. Feb 2005; 3(2): 167-74. PMID 15704051
19. Ratziu V, Massard J, Charlotte F, et al. Diagnostic value of biochemical markers (FibroTest-FibroSURE) for the prediction ofliver fibrosis in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. BMC Gastroenterol. Feb 14 2006; 6: 6. PMID 16503961
20. Lassailly G, Caiazzo R, Hollebecque A, et al. Validation of noninvasive biomarkers (FibroTest, SteatoTest, and NashTest) forprediction of liver injury in patients with morbid obesity. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. Jun 2011; 23(6): 499-506. PMID 21499110
21. Poynard T, Ratziu V, Charlotte F, et al. Diagnostic value of biochemical markers (NashTest) for the prediction of non alcoholosteato hepatitis in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. BMC Gastroenterol. Nov 10 2006; 6: 34. PMID 17096854
22. Mohamadnejad M, Montazeri G, Fazlollahi A, et al. Noninvasive markers of liver fibrosis and inflammation in chronic hepatitisB-virus related liver disease. Am J Gastroenterol. Nov 2006; 101(11): 2537-45. PMID 17029616
23. Zeng MD, Lu LG, Mao YM, et al. Prediction of significant fibrosis in HBeAg-positive patients with chronic hepatitis B by anoninvasive model. Hepatology. Dec 2005; 42(6): 1437-45. PMID 16317674
24. Park MS, Kim BK, Cheong JY, et al. Discordance between liver biopsy and FibroTest in assessing liver fibrosis in chronichepatitis B. PLoS One. 2013; 8(2): e55759. PMID 23405210
25. Salkic NN, Jovanovic P, Hauser G, et al. FibroTest/Fibrosure for significant liver fibrosis and cirrhosis in chronic hepatitis B: ameta-analysis. Am J Gastroenterol. Jun 2014; 109(6): 796-809. PMID 24535095

CLICK THE ENVELOPE ICON BELOW TO SUBMIT COMMENTS