

## Medical Coverage Policy | Ingestible Devices for the Treatment of Constipation



**EFFECTIVE DATE:** 10|01|2023

**POLICY LAST UPDATED:** 09|29|2023

### OVERVIEW

This document addresses the use of ingestible devices as a nonpharmacological treatment of constipation. The capsule shaped devices mechanically stimulate the colon via vibrations with the goal of triggering a bowel movement. Internal mechanical stimulation has been proposed as an alternative second-line treatment of constipation following failure of laxative therapy.

### MEDICAL CRITERIA

Not applicable

### PRIOR AUTHORIZATION

Not applicable

### POLICY STATEMENT

Ingestible devices for the treatment of constipation are considered not covered for Medicare Advantage Plans and not medically necessary for Commercial Products as the evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

### COVERAGE

Benefits may vary between groups and contracts. Please refer to the appropriate Benefit Booklet, Evidence of Coverage or Subscriber Agreement for not medically necessary/not covered benefits/coverage.

### BACKGROUND

The reported prevalence of constipation varies greatly depending upon the criteria used and the targeted population, but general consensus estimates prevalence at approximately 8-15% of the population (Palsson, 2020). Prevalence is higher in select populations, including women, minorities, individuals older than 65 years and individuals in a lower socioeconomic status.

Constipation is classified as either primary or secondary. Primary constipation is frequently defined based upon the Rome IV diagnostic criteria. Primary constipation can be categorized as functional constipation, constipation-predominant irritable bowel syndrome or defecatory disorders. Functional constipation, also known as idiopathic constipation, is primarily defined by the presenting symptoms. Constipation is associated with fewer than 3 stools/week, straining at stool, a feeling of incomplete evacuation, the need for digital assistance to complete a bowel movement, bloating and hard or lumpy stools. The diagnosis of functional constipation requires that the individual have two or more of the above symptoms which affect more than 25% of their bowel movements for at least 6 months with active symptoms for the past 3 months (Bharucha, 2020).

The initial treatment of constipation consists of lifestyle changes such as increasing fiber/fluid intake, increasing activity levels and laxatives. Treatment refractory constipation may be treated with medication, such as secretagogue or prokinetic agents, or with biofeedback therapy. Surgery can be considered if other treatments are ineffective. The appropriate surgical intervention is based upon any pathophysiology present and the risk/benefits acceptable to the affected individual (Bharucha, 2020; Paquette, 2016; Włodarczyk, 2021).

The Vibrant Gastro system is a non-pharmacological, intraluminal “mechanical-pill” therapy marketed as a treatment of chronic constipation. The system is comprised of a multi-use activation pod and a 1-month supply of disposable drug-free capsules. A capsule is placed in the base unit or pod and is programmed with an activation code via an electromagnetic signal. The activation code determines the time span of vibration sessions and strength of vibrations. Following activation, the capsule is taken orally. Capsules can be used 2-5 times per week. Each capsule should take 1 day to pass through the digestive tract before being expelled. Typically, the device will begin vibrating 8 hours following activation to allow the capsule to reach the colon. The ingestible capsules are typically taken at night so stimulation begins in the morning, possibly normalizing the biologic circadian rhythm (Rao, 2020). Capsule progress can be monitored via a smartphone application. Endoscopy would be needed to remove retained capsules.

The Vibrant Gastro system is theorized to work in 2 ways. The ingestible devices may enhance colonic motility by providing direct mechanical stimulation to the intestinal wall leading to the enhanced movement of stool (Zhu, 2022). There is a theory that the human colon is naturally programmed to empty upon awakening in the morning. This biorhythm may be significantly altered in individuals with chronic severe constipation. Rao and associates (2020) note that individuals with constipation report the most bowel movements occurring in the evening hours compared to individuals without constipation who report daytime bowel movements. With extended, consistent use, ingestible devices are hypothesized to resynchronize the biological clock, leading to the restoration of natural bowel movement biorhythm (Rao, 2020).

The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) cleared the Vibrant® Gastro System (Vibrant Gastro Inc., Newton, MA) on August 26, 2022, as a de novo “orally ingested transient device for constipation”. The orally administered capsules are indicated for treatment of chronic idiopathic constipation in adults after failure of at least a 1-month trial of laxative therapy.

Zhu and colleagues (2022) conducted a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter trial to evaluate the safety and efficacy of a vibrating capsule. Individuals with functional constipation were recruited from an outpatient clinic and were randomized to receive vibrating (n=53) or sham (n=53) capsules. Eligible individuals had self-reported symptoms of less than 3 complete spontaneous bowel movements (CSBMs) per week for the past 3 months with onset of symptoms at least 6 months prior to study enrollment. Participants also reported the presence of additional symptoms listed on the Bristol Stool Form Scale during more than 25% of defecations. Following a 2-week run-in period, participants took a capsule every 3 or 4 days for a total of 12 capsules within 6 weeks. Follow-up continued for 4 weeks or until laxatives were used. Responders were defined as having an increase in at least one CSBM per week over their baseline frequency. There was a significant between-group difference in the response rates: vibrating capsule 64% vs. sham treatment 36%; between group difference 28% (95% confidence interval [CI]: 10–45%). There were no significant differences in the proportion of adverse events between the groups and there were no cases of capsule retention. Limitations included a shortened treatment period (the recommended treatment regimen is 12 weeks) and no long-term follow-up data.

In an open label study, Nelson and associates (2017) examined the effect of vibrating and sham capsules on colonic transit time in individuals with functional constipation. An equal number of individuals were randomized to each group (n=12). Individuals in each group underwent a baseline colonic transit measurement and a second colonic transit measurement during the final week of treatment. There were no significant differences between the groups for the slope of progression of the capsule over 48 hours. The decision to use colonic transit as the primary endpoint is questionable as constipation is not well correlated with delayed colonic transit and the majority of individuals with constipation have normal colonic transit (Bharucha, 2013; Nelson, 2017).

The mechanistic effects of vibrating capsules in chronic idiopathic constipation were evaluated in a post hoc analyses of two prospective, adaptive, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, and sham-controlled studies (Rao, 2020). The analyses included individuals who received vibrating (n=133) or sham (n=117) capsules.

Participants had self-reported constipation symptoms, between 1 and 3 spontaneous bowel movements per week and symptoms were refractory to osmotic and stimulant laxatives for at least 1 month. The study evaluated CSBM response rates in which a response rate was defined as an increase of at least 1 CSBM/week over baseline. Both studies included a 2-week run-in period and an 8-week treatment period, but the vibration session varied. In one study each capsule was programmed to vibrate for a single 2-hour session, in the second study each capsule was programmed to vibrate for two 2-hour sessions. There were no differences in CSBM response rates between the treatment and sham groups in either study.

There is a paucity of evidence to support that ingestible vibrating capsules are a safe and effective treatment of chronic constipation. There is a lack of trial outcomes which show a benefit of active treatment over sham treatments. There are no published studies with long-term follow-up data which demonstrates durable and safe outcomes associated with vibrating ingestible device use over time. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

### **CODING**

The following HCPCS code(s) are not covered for Medicare Advantage Plans and not medically necessary for Commercial Products:

**A9268** Programmer for transient, orally ingested capsule (Code Effective 10/01/2023)

**A9269** Programable, transient, orally ingested capsule, for use with external programmer, per month (Code Effective 10/01/2023)

**\*For Dates of Service prior to 10/01/2023, the following HCPCS code would be used:**

**A9999** Miscellaneous DME supply or accessory, not otherwise specified

### **RELATED POLICIES**

Not applicable

### **PUBLISHED**

Provider Update, September 2023

### **REFERENCES**

1. Barberio B, Judge C, Savarino EV, Ford AC. Global prevalence of functional constipation according to the Rome criteria: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2021; 6(8):638-648.
2. Bharucha AE, Lacy BE. Mechanisms, evaluation, and management of chronic constipation.
3. *Gastroenterology*. 2020; 158(5):1232-1249.e3.
4. Blake MR, Raker JM, Whelan K. Validity and reliability of the Bristol Stool Form Scale in healthy adults and patients with diarrhoea-predominant irritable bowel syndrome. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2016; 44(7):693-703.
5. Duboc H, Coffin B, Siproudhis L. Disruption of circadian rhythms and gut motility: An overview of underlying mechanisms and associated pathologies. *J Clin Gastroenterol*. 2020; 54(5):405-414.
6. Gray JR. What is chronic constipation? Definition and diagnosis. *Can J Gastroenterol*. 2011; 25 Suppl B(Suppl B):7B-10B.
7. Nelson AD, Camilleri M, Acosta A, et al. A single-center, prospective, double-blind, sham-controlled, randomized study of the effect of a vibrating capsule on colonic transit in patients with chronic constipation. *Neurogastroenterol Motil*. 2017; 29(7).
8. Pålsson OS, Whitehead W, Törnblom H, Sperber AD, Simren M. Prevalence of Rome IV functional bowel disorders among adults in the United States, Canada, and the United Kingdom. *Gastroenterology*. 2020; 158(5):1262-1273.e3.
9. Rao SSC, Lembo A, Chey WD, et al. Effects of the vibrating capsule on colonic circadian rhythm and bowel symptoms in chronic idiopathic constipation. *Neurogastroenterol Motil*. 2020; 32(11):e13890.

10. Ron Y, Halpern Z, Safadi R, et al. Safety and efficacy of the vibrating capsule, an innovative non-pharmacological treatment modality for chronic constipation. *Neurogastroenterol Motil.* 2015; 27(1):99-104.
11. Włodarczyk J, Waśniewska A, Fichna J, et al. Current overview on clinical management of chronic constipation. *J Clin Med.* 2021; 10(8):1738.
12. Zhu JH, Qian YY, Pan J, et al. Efficacy and safety of vibrating capsule for functional constipation (VICONs): A randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter trial. *EClinicalMedicine.* 2022; 47:101407.
13. American Gastroenterological Association, Bharucha AE, Dorn SD, Lembo A, Pressman A. American Gastroenterological Association medical position statement on constipation. *Gastroenterology.* 2013; 144(1):211-217.
14. Paquette IM, Varma M, Ternent C, et al. The American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons' Clinical Practice Guideline for the Evaluation and Management of Constipation. *Dis Colon Rectum.* 2016; 59(6):479-492.
15. U.S. Food and Drug Administration 513(f) De Novo classification. Vibrant Ltd. DEN210052. Silver Spring, MD: FDA. August 2022. Available at: [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh\\_docs/pdf21/DEN210052.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf21/DEN210052.pdf). Accessed on October 18, 2022.
16. American Gastroenterological Association (AGA). Constipation. Available at: <https://patient.gastro.org/constipation/>. Accessed on August 31, 2022.
17. U.S Department of Health and Human Services. National Institute for Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Disease. Constipation. Available at: <https://www.niddk.nih.gov/health-information/digestive-diseases/constipation>. Accessed on August 31, 2022.



**CLICK THE ENVELOPE ICON BELOW TO SUBMIT COMMENTS**

This medical policy is made available to you for informational purposes only. It is not a guarantee of payment or a substitute for your medical judgment in the treatment of your patients. Benefits and eligibility are determined by the member's subscriber agreement or member certificate and/or the employer agreement, and those documents will supersede the provisions of this medical policy. For information on member-specific benefits, call the provider call center. If you provide services to a member which are determined to not be medically necessary (or in some cases medically necessary services which are non-covered benefits), you may not charge the member for the services unless you have informed the member and they have agreed in writing in advance to continue with the treatment at their own expense. Please refer to your participation agreement(s) for the applicable provisions. This policy is current at the time of publication; however, medical practices, technology, and knowledge are constantly changing. BCBSRI reserves the right to review and revise this policy for any reason and at any time, with or without notice. Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Rhode Island is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association.

